

REMARKS

It is respectfully submitted that the rejection under 35 USC 102 over Litton '419 and the rejection under 35 USC 103 over Tsay in view of Litton should be withdrawn. Neither reference teaches or suggests, *inter alia*, the feature of the claimed device where the post extends adjacent a side surface of a top elongated member to a point above the top surface of the top elongated member. Independent claim 1 explicitly recites that the post is "adjacent a side surface of the top elongated member". Independent claim 22 includes the same recitation and further recites that the post is "interconnected to a side surface of the top elongated member". In both Litton and Tsay, the post is positioned directly on the central longitudinal axis of the top elongated member, which is distant from the side surface, and is neither adjacent to nor interconnected with the side surface of that member. The claimed invention in which the post extends along the outside of side surface of the top member, is both novel and unobvious.

It is respectfully submitted that this application is in condition to be allowed.

Dated: February 20, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

By /Edward A. Meilman/
Edward A. Meilman
Registration No.: 24,735
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP
1177 Avenue of the Americas
41st Floor
New York, New York 10036-2714
(212) 277-6520
Attorney for Applicant